



Newmarket TOWN COUNCIL

The Memorial Hall, High Street, Newmarket, CB8 8JP

Tel: 01638 667 227. E-mail townclerk@newmarket.gov.uk

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN OF A MEETING OF THE NEWMARKET TOWN COUNCIL

to be held at

Memorial Hall, High Street, Newmarket, CB8 8JP

Monday 24th March 2025 at 6.15pm

**Councillors are hereby summoned to attend
for the purpose of transacting the business that follows**

The meeting is held in public and the public are encouraged to attend and participate. Just occasionally matters such as contractual or staffing matters do have to be held in the confidential part of the meeting.

Members of the public and press may not orally report or comment about a meeting as it takes place if present at a meeting of the Council, but otherwise may:

- a. film, photograph or make an audio recording of the meeting;
- b. use any other means for enabling persons not present to see or hear proceedings at a meeting as it takes place or later;
- c. Report or comment on the proceedings in writing, during or after a meeting or orally after the meeting.

The Council will where possible facilitate such recording unless it is being disruptive. It will also take steps to ensure that children, the vulnerable and members of the public who object to being filmed are protected without undermining the broader purpose of the meeting

A G E N D A

1. **Welcome** - The Mayor to read the fire safety notice and announce that this meeting is being recorded and to ask whether anyone else intends to record the meeting
2. **Apologies** for absence
3. **Declaration of interests**
 - a) Councillors are invited to raise any declarations of interest concerning items on the agenda
 - b) To consider any requests for dispensation
4. **Minutes of the previous meeting held 24th February 2025**
 - a) To consider and adopt the minutes, as a true record of the proceedings
 - b) To consider any matters arising
5. **Public participation** – an invitation to members of the public to make representations to Councillors.
Resolutions can only be made on items on the agenda
Rules of public participation: no person may for longer than 3 minutes in total. The maximum time permitted for this item is 15 minutes. This is the only opportunity during the meeting for members of the public to address Councillors.
6. **Awards presentation** – to present awards to the Brownies
7. **Police Report** – to receive and note a report (verbal or written) from the PCT (Police Community Team)
8. **Councillor Reports** - to receive and note reports (if any) from County and District Councillors, Ward Reports and outside bodies reports from Town Councillors
9. **Announcements** - To receive the Town Mayor's and Deputy Mayor's Announcements (if any)
10. **Committee Meetings**
 - a) To receive the minutes and consider and approve any recommendations from the Development & Planning meetings held on 3rd and 17th March 2025
 - b) To receive the minutes and consider and approve any recommendations from the Community Services meeting held on 3rd March 2025
 - c) To receive the minutes and consider and approve any recommendations from the Finance & Policy meeting held on 17th March 2025
11. **Working Group Reports for FTC** – To receive any reports from the NTC Working Groups
12. **Devolution Consultation** – to consider a response from Newmarket Town Council
13. **Correspondence**
14. **Date of the next Meeting – 28th April 2025**

Distribute to the following for information:

County & District Councillors, The Press, Newmarket Town Council Website

Signed: *CE Whitaker*

Cathy Whitaker, Town Clerk

Date: 18th March 2025

Distribute to the following for information:

County & District Councillors, The Press, Newmarket Town Council Website



Newmarket

TOWN COUNCIL

Minutes of the Meeting of Newmarket Town Council

held on Monday 24th February 2025 at 6:15 pm at the Memorial Hall

Attendance:

Councillor P Winter (Town Mayor)
Councillor J Borda
Councillor L Crissall
Councillor J Clover (arrived 6.19pm)
Councillor A Drummond
Councillor J Harvey (Deputy Town Mayor)

Councillor R Hood
Councillor P Hulbert
Councillor J Jarvis
Councillor R McHugh
Councillor R Nobbs
Councillor K Yarrow

Also Present: C Whitaker – Town Clerk, J Ashton – Minute Assistant, Inspector Scott – Suffolk Police, J Gilbert – Racing Centre, S Elsom and N Robinson – Newmarket BID, 1 Member of the Press and 3 Members of the Public.

Minute

- 25/02/1 Welcome**
The Chair read out the Fire safety notice and announced that the meeting was being recorded by the Minute Assistant asked if anyone intended to record the meeting.
- 25/02/2 Apologies for Absence – LGA 1972, Section 85(1) & (2)**
Apologies were received from Cllrs Berry, Jones, Perry, Starkey and Unwin. Cllr Anderson was absent.
- 25/02/3 Declaration of Members Interests & to Remind Councillors of the Need to Keep up to Date Their Register of Member's Interests and to Consider any Requests Received for Member's Dispensation**
None noted. There were no requests for Member's Dispensation.
- 25/02/4 To Receive and Confirm the Minutes of the Town Council Meeting held on 27th January 2025 and any Matters Arising.**
The minutes of the meeting held on 27th January 2025 were presented and Cllr Drummond made a proposal, seconded by Cllr Nobbs. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:
- 25/02/4.01 Resolved**
That the minutes of the meeting held on 27th January 2025 be adopted and signed as a correct record by the Town Mayor.

There were no matters arising.

Cllr Clover and N Robinson joined the meeting 6:19pm

25/02/5 Public Open Session

Alan Shand spoke to item 19 and asked who he should contact regarding the sports provision at the St Felix site for the community. The Chair advised that he should contact WSC. Cllr Hood added that this is in her Ward and the houses were already allocated but half of the site had been secured to build a sports hub by WSC and a sports strategy is being created.

Alan suggested that he was happy to give up his time to resurrect the sports club forum.

A member of the public spoke regarding the technology which could provide energy infrastructure to generate and store energy to supply the communities. The energy could be stored using sand rather than lithium batteries. He was asked to send the details to the Town Clerk.

A member of the public joined the meeting at 6:20pm

25/02/6 Police Report

A written report from Sgt Button was presented and noted.

Inspector Tim Scott, who will attempt to attend our meetings on a six-monthly basis, has been in post since September 2024 and gave a verbal report and update on current activities.

They have recently introduced 'Newmarket Together', an initiative to stamp out national crime. It has a 3-step approach working with councils and housing officers to gather information, set up youth workers and family support groups and then the build phase will include all parts of the community.

He also gave an update on latest crime figures for vehicle offences, and thefts from 3 mobile phone shops. The recent commercial burglaries are under investigation and the general crime rate has seen a reduction and overall is looking positive.

A member of the public joined the meeting at 6:28pm

Cllr Crissall reported that there was a pattern of ABS incidents. Inspector Scott was not aware of this, and videos and notes of incidents will be sent in to be reported.

Cllr Drummond asked about the vehicle thefts. Additional overnight patrols had been introduced, and doorbell footage had been used to identify offenders.

Cllr Hood raised the issues with Vape shops sales. Trading Standards are looking at counterfeit products. 3 shops were found to have non-compliant vapes.

The Chair thanked Inspector Scott for coming to the meeting and he left the meeting at 6.45pm.

25/02/7 Flagship

Apologies were received from Georgie Holmes who was going to give a presentation on the Flagship plans for Icewell Hill. A new date for a meeting with Councillors will be arranged.

25/02/8 Racing Centre

John Gilbert gave a report on the success of the NHS Clinics supported by a grant from NTC. He was grateful for the funding grant for this great community project which has become a pilot for other areas, and he hoped that it would keep going in the years to come.

The Chair thanked John for coming to the meeting and a decision will be made on further funding before the end of March.

25/02/9 Love Newmarket

Natalie Robinson presented a report on the BID ballot process and gave a brief outline. The current 5-year term ends 31st March 2026 and there will be another ballot within the next 12 months. The ballot will be via post and members will receive a ballot notice and voting form. They can vote yes, no or abstain. Abstentions are not counted in the vote.

S Elsom, N Robinson and J Gilbert left the meeting at 6.57pm.

25/02/10 Councillor Reports

SCC – Cllr Hood reported that the monthly report had been issued. SCC Rights of Way have agreed to cut back the foliage on a part of Laureate School path and the owner of the old Allied property will allow it to run alongside the building.

Delegated Powers have been given to Cllrs Hood and Drummond to respond to the Newmarket Area Transport Plan. There had been some inaccuracies in the report, and the revised plan is now going to Cabinet for approval.

Cllr Drummond reported that the developers of the St Felix site will be installing a fence earlier than planned and will consult residents.

SCC held a meeting today and have decided to go for a single unitary structure for Suffolk.

West Suffolk Council (WSC) – Cllr Yarrow reported that WSC are updating the path on Manderston Road and will be submitting plans for a play park on the green.

Ward Reports

Cllr Yarrow reported that a survey for “20’s Plenty” campaign has been issued to residents on Freshfields and if it is supported, he will take this forward.

Cllr Jarvis reported that there had been an article in the Journal regarding the success of the reverse vending machines and he reported that this was a Newmarket initiative by a Newmarket councillor in a radio interview today. It has now been rolled out to Sudbury, Ipswich and Cambridge.

Cllr Crissall reported that the shop on Exning Road had suffered significant losses due to the extended utility works that prevented customers from using the carpark and accessing the shop. Cllr Drummond will make further enquiries regarding compensation for the loss of business.

Cllr Crissall reported that there have been a lot of posts on FaceBook regarding the state of the Leisure Centre which were reported to Environmental Health but they have not responded. Cllr Yarrow will take up the issues reported with WSC and report back.

Outside Bodies – The MARPA Spring 2025 Newsletter was noted.

25/02/11 Mayors Announcements

The Mayor reported that, in addition to attending committee meetings, working groups and other meetings, her activities included the following:

Tuesday 4th February 2025 - Attended the launch of Newmarket Together at Newmarket Academy.

25/02/12 To Receive the Minutes and Consider and Approve any Recommendations from

Book Number 57 2024 -2025

Minutes of the Meeting of Newmarket Town Council held on Monday 24th February 2025

the Development & Planning Committee Meetings held on 3rd and 17th February 2025

The minutes and recommendations from the Development & Planning Committee meetings held on 3rd and 17th February 2025 were presented. There were no recommendations. Cllr Hood made a proposal, seconded by Cllr Harvey. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the minutes were adopted.

25/02/13 To Receive the Minutes and Consider and Approve any Recommendations from the Leisure Services Committee Meeting held on 3rd February 2025

The minutes of the Leisure Services Committee meeting held on 3rd February 2025 were presented. Cllr Nobbs made a proposal, seconded by Cllr Hulbert. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the minutes were adopted:

25/02/13.01 Resolved (L/25/02/7.01 Recommendation)

That quote from Newmarket Decorating Company for £11,910 to re-decorate the Memorial Hall be approved.

25/02/13.02 Resolved (L/25/02/8.01 Recommendation)

That a Christmas event be held at the Severals in principle, subject to time and costings.

25/02/13.03 Resolved (L/25/02/9.01 Recommendation)

That the Memorial Hall be used to host a Model Railway Exhibition for a weekend in August 2025 free of charge with chargeable light refreshments being provided.

25/02/14 Working Group Reports

Cllr Harvey reported that a survey had been issued to gather support for the bus transport improvement scheme, with circa. 200 responses. Thanks were given to Alison Hayes for assisting with the survey promotion in the Newmarket Journal. Cllr Harvey will be forming a report regarding the survey results.

25/02/15 Small Grant Consideration

An application from Hope for Tomorrow was considered. Cllr Winter made a proposal to approve a grant for £500; seconded by Cllr Yarrow. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

25/02/15.01 Resolved

That the grant application from Hope for Tomorrow be approved at £500.00.

25/02/16 St Felix Building Site

The recent disturbances to local residents were discussed and Cllr Jarvis has asked the developers to apologise to residents. They had admitted that a warning letter for when the work would commence had not been issued.

25/02/17 Committee Membership

A request from Cllr Yarrow to join the Development & Planning Committee was considered. Cllr Winter made a proposal, seconded by Cllr Hood. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

25/02/17.01 Resolved

That the request from Cllr Yarrow to join the Development & Planning Committee be approved.

25/02/18 Correspondence

An invitation from St John's Ambulance to visit the East Cambridgeshire Network was noted. Town Clerk was asked to invite them to attend a full Council meeting.

25/02/19 Date of the next Meeting

Monday 24th March 2025 in the Memorial Hall at 6:15pm.

Meeting closed at 7:26pm

Signed: _____

Date: _____

Summary of Resolutions

Resolution	Resolution Wording
<u>25/02/13.01 Resolved</u> <i>(L/25/02/7.01 Recommendation)</i>	That quote from Newmarket Decorating Company for £11,910 to re-decorate the Memorial Hall be approved.
<u>25/02/13.02 Resolved</u> <i>(L/25/02/8.01 Recommendation)</i>	That a Christmas event be held at the Severals in principle, subject to time and costings.
<u>25/02/13.03 Resolved</u> <i>(L/25/02/9.01 Recommendation)</i>	That the Memorial Hall be used to host a Model Railway Exhibition for a weekend in August 2025 free of charge with chargeable light refreshments being provided.
<u>25/02/15.01 Resolved</u>	That the grant application from Hope for Tomorrow be approved at £500.00.
<u>25/02/17.01 Resolved</u>	That the request from Cllr Yarrow to join the Development & Planning Committee be approved.

Mayors Announcements

The Mayor reported that, in addition to attending committee meetings, working groups and other meetings, her activities included the following:

27th February – Light up the Town – St Mary’s Church

9th March – Covid Remembrance Service – War Memorial

23rd March – Suffolk Justice Service – St Edmundsbury Cathedral

THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY



Newmarket

TOWN COUNCIL

Minutes of a Meeting of the Development & Planning Committee Held on Monday 3rd March 2025 at 6.15pm

Attendance:

Councillor J Harvey (Vice Chair)
Councillor A Drummond
Councillor P Hulbert

Councillor J Jarvis
Councillor J McHugh
Councillor K Yarrow

Also Present: C Whitaker – Town Clerk, N Alecock – Deputy Town Clerk, J Ashton – Minute Assistant, 1 – Representative from Tesco, 1 Member of the Press and 3 Members of the Public.

Minute	Action by
D/25/03/1 <u>Chair to Read the Fire Safety Notice and Announce that Proceedings May be Filmed or Recorded</u> The Chair welcomed everyone, opened the meeting, and read out the Fire Safety Notice and announced that the meeting was being recorded by the Minute Assistant and confirmed that no-one else intended to record the meeting.	
D/25/03/2 <u>Apologies</u> Apologies were received from Cllrs, Berry, Hood, Jones, Nobbs and Perry.	
D/25/03/3 <u>Declaration of Members Interests & to Remind Councillors of the need to keep up to date their Register of Member's Interests and to Consider any Requests Received for Member's Dispensation</u> None noted. There were no requests for dispensation.	
D/25/03/4 <u>To Confirm the Minutes of the Meeting Held on 17th February 2025 and any Matters Arising</u> The Chair presented the minutes of the Development & Planning Committee held on 17th February 2025 and Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Drummond. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed: <u>D/25/03/4.01 Resolved</u> That the minutes of the Development & Planning Committee held on 17th February 2025 be adopted and were signed as a true record by the Vice Chair of the Development & Planning Committee. There were no matters arising.	
D/25/03/5 <u>Public Open Session</u> A representative from Tesco gave additional information regarding their reasons for and implications of the proposal to change the parking bay in the High Street from double yellow lines to a restricted loading bay. <i>The Town Clerk joined the meeting.</i>	

An item will be put on the next agenda to re-consider the proposal.

The Representative from Tesco left the meeting at 6:29pm.

A representative from Newmarket Rugby Club read out a letter that will be sent to WSC CEO regarding a proposal to lease part of the George Lambton playing fields to provide a secure site for the Newmarket Rugby Club which will enable them to receive funding from the RFU. An item will be added to the next agenda to consider supporting the proposal.

Cllr Winter joined the meeting at 6:40pm as an observer and 2 members of the public and the Member of the Press left the meeting at 6:45pm.

D/25/03/6 Planning Applications

Week Commencing 10/02/2025 & 17/02/2025.

D/25/03/6/1 DC/25/0244/TCA – Trees in a conservation area notification – one Hornbeam (orange on plan) fell – Boyarin Lodge, 30 Bury Road, Newmarket. Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Drummond. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/6.01 Resolved

The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and object to the felling of healthy trees. In this instance, the Committee support the Tree Officer's approval for the Hornbeam tree to be felled and support the plan to replace the tree as stated in the application. The Committee requests that the tree be felled outside of the bird nesting season.

The Member of the Press rejoined the meeting at 6.47pm.

D/25/03/6/2 DC/25/0235/TPO – TPO/001 (2024) Tree preservation order – five Sycamore (T7, T8, T9, and T11 on plan G1 on order) fell – Lisburn Road, Newmarket. Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Drummond. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/26.02 Resolved

The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and object to the felling of healthy trees. In this instance, the Committee support the Tree Officer's approval for the trees to be felled and support the plan to replace the trees as stated in the application. The Committee requests that the trees be felled outside of the bird nesting season.

D/25/03/26/3 DC/25/0240/TPO – TPO 04 (2000) tree preservation order – one beech (T1 on plan and order) crown thin by 15 percent – Portland Cottage, Hamilton Road, Newmarket. Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Hulbert. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/6.03 Resolved

The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and does not object to this application, subject to the Tree Officer's approval.

D/25/03/6/4 DC/25/0260/TCA – Trees in a conservation area notification – group of Maple (as indicated on plan) reduce and reshape group of Maple; one Silver Birch (as indicated on plan) reduce by 2-3 metres all round – 16 Brewers Lane, Newmarket. Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Drummond. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/6.04 Resolved

The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and does not object to this application, subject to the Tree Officer's approval.

D/25/03/6/5 DC/25/0275/TCA – Trees in a conservation area notification – one Wellingtonia (T1 on plan) – reduce back from neighbouring building and chimney leaving three metres clearance – Carlburg Stables, 49 Bury Road, Newmarket. Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Yarrow. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/6.05 Resolved

The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and does not object to this application, subject to the Tree Officer's approval.

D/25/03/6/6 DC/25/0164/TCA – Trees in a conservation area – one Red Robin hedge (T1 on plan) fell – 5 Mill Reef, Newmarket. Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Yarrow. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/6.06 Resolved

The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and object to the felling of healthy trees. The Committee objected to the felling of the Red Robin hedge and proposed that reduction of the hedge be considered as responsible tree management.

D/25/03/6/7 DC/25/0094/ADV – Application for advertisement consent – two illuminated fascias – 1 Exeter Road, Newmarket. Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Drummond. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/6.07 Resolved

The Committee voiced no objection.

D/25/03/6/8 DC/25/0288/TCA – Trees in a conservation area notification – one Horse Chestnut (T1, T2, T5, T8 and T9 on plan) overall crown reduction by two metres, one Sycamore (T3 on plan) overall crown reduction by two metres, one Sycamore (T6 on plan) overall crown reduction by two metres, one Sycamore (T6 on plan) overall crown reduction by two metres, one Lime (T7 on plan) overall crown reduction by two metres – The Kremlin, 1 Bury Road, Newmarket. Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Hulbert. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/6.08 Resolved

The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and does not

object to this application, subject to the Tree Officer's approval.

1 member of the public left the meeting at 6.59pm.

D/25/03/6/9 DC/25/0281/ADV – Application for advertisement consent – one non illuminated fascia sign – 107 High Street, Newmarket. Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Drumond. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/6.09 Resolved

The Committee objected to this application on the grounds that it contravenes the WSC Shopfront and Advertisement Design Guidance and requested a more appropriate sign for the Conservation Area.

Cllr Borda joined the meeting as an observer at 7.02pm.

D/25/03/6/10 DC/25/0293/TPO – TPO/1978/02 – Tree preservation order – one Horse Chestnut (T1 on plan, T6 on order) fell – 9 Saint Albans, Newmarket. Cllr Hulbert made a proposal seconded by Cllr McHugh. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/6.10 Resolved

The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and object to the felling of healthy trees. In this instance, the Committee support the felling of an unhealthy tree and the planting of a replacement. The Committee requests that the tree be felled outside of the bird nesting season.

D/25/03/7 Amended and Deferred Plans

D/25/03/7/1 DC/25/0001/HH – Reconsultation - Householder planning application – first floor extension over existing garage – 4 Hallwyck Gardens, Newmarket. Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Drummond. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/7.01 Resolved

The Committee voiced no objections.

D/25/03/7/2 Deferred for site visit (due 7/3/25 – did not go ahead due to not being able to get an extension) – DC/25/0163/FUL – Planning Application – a. change of use from storage building to four flats (class C3), b. section of flat roof between gable roofs, c. replacement of tin pitched roof to bin and cycle store with flat roof, d. additional and modified window and door openings, e. railings to front – Land at 34 and 36 Old Station Road, Newmarket. Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Drummond. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/7.02 Resolved

The Committee objected on the grounds that it is overdevelopment of the site and a lack of parking provision.

D/25/03/8 Planning Appeals

None noted.

D/25/03/9 East Cambs Planning Applications
The East Cambs Weekly Lists were noted.

D/25/03/10 To Receive Results of Applications as Determined by West Suffolk and Plans Withdrawn
There were no West Suffolk Planning Determinations received during weeks commencing 10/02/2025 & 17/02/2025.

Applications	Description	Address	WS Decision	NTC Decision
DC/24/1858/TCA	Trees in a Conservation Area Notification - Group of mixed Maple, Horse Chestnut, Elm and Sycamore trees (G1 on plan) lift canopies to give 6 metre ground clearance, six Sycamore (G2 on plan) remove Ivy and crown reduce largest Sycamores by up to 4 metres in height and approximately 2 metre from sides where required to neaten appearance of trees. Cherry Trees (Clump 1,2 & 3 on plan) reduce height by 3 metres and reduce sides by approximately 3 metres to neaten appearance, Cherry (T1 on plan) reduce all round by approximately 3 metres, Prunus (T2, T3 on plan) multiple fruiting bodies present – fell	Freemason Lodge, 35 Bury Road, Newmarket, Suffolk	Approved	Objected
DC/24/1814/HH	Householder planning application- a. replacement garage b. ground floor window to dwelling	5 Princess Way, Newmarket, Suffolk	Approved	No objections
DC/24/1144/FUL	Planning application- raising of roof to create additional storey for two dwellings	Arlington Court, Church Lane, Newmarket, Suffolk	Approved	No objections

D/25/03/11 Delegation Panel Decisions
DC/24/1518/FUL - Planning application - construction of building to accommodate five apartments and one commercial unit (Class E) at ground floor following demolition of existing motor garage - 8 Park Lane, Newmarket, Suffolk.

It was noted that the Officer was minded to grant the application and that it was referred to the West Suffolk Development Control Committee.

D/25/03/12 Licensing
None noted.

D/25/03/13 Enforcement
The Working Group met on 19th February and is scheduled to meet fortnightly to progress the various issues.

D/25/03/14 Former Animal Health Trust Land
Following attendance by some Councillors (and others) at the recent exhibition in Kentford, the plans were discussed and noted (particularly potentially nearly 1,000 houses impacting on the site, the village, local traffic and services).

D/25/03/15 Correspondence
Letter to WSC from the Rugby Club will be added to the next agenda for consideration.

D/25/03/16 Date of Next Meeting

Monday 17th March 2025 at the Memorial Hall.

Meeting closed at 7:25pm.

Signed ____ Cllr Harvey _____ Date ____ 17.03.25 _____

Summary of Resolutions/Recommendations

Resolution/Recommendation	Resolution/Recommendation Wording
<u>D/25/03/6.01 Resolved</u>	The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and object to the felling of healthy trees. In this instance, the Committee support the Tree Officer's approval for the Hornbeam tree to be felled and support the plan to replace the tree as stated in the application. The Committee requests that the tree be felled outside of the bird nesting season.
<u>D/25/03/6.02 Resolved</u>	The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and object to the felling of healthy trees. In this instance, the Committee support the Tree Officer's approval for the trees to be felled and support the plan to replace the trees as stated in the application. The Committee requests that the tree be felled outside of the bird nesting season.
<u>D/25/03/6.03 Resolved</u>	The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and does not object to this application, subject to the Tree Officer's approval.
<u>D/25/03/6.04 Resolved</u>	The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and does not object to this application, subject to the Tree Officer's approval.
<u>D/25/03/6.05 Resolved</u>	The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and does not object to this application, subject to the Tree Officer's approval.
<u>D/25/03/6.06 Resolved</u>	The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and object to the felling of healthy trees. The Committee objected to the felling of the Red Robin hedge and proposed that reduction of the hedge be considered as responsible tree management.
<u>D/25/03/6.07 Resolved</u>	The Committee voiced no objection.
<u>D/25/03/6.08 Resolved</u>	The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and does not object to this application, subject to the Tree Officer's approval.
<u>D/25/03/6.09 Resolved</u>	The Committee objected this application on the grounds that it contravenes the WSC Shopfront Policy in a Conservation Area and requested a more appropriate sign for a Conservation Area.

<u>D/25/03/6.10 Resolved</u>	The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and object to the felling of healthy trees. In this instance, the Committee support the felling of an unhealthy tree and the planting of a replacement. The Committee requests that the tree be felled outside of the bird nesting season.
<u>D/25/03/7.01 Resolved</u>	The Committee voiced no objections.
<u>D/25/03/7.02 Resolved</u>	The Committee objected on the grounds that it is overdevelopment of the site and lack of parking provision.

THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY



Newmarket

TOWN COUNCIL

Minutes of a Meeting of the Development & Planning Committee Held on Monday 17th March 2025 at 6.15pm

Attendance:

Councillor J Harvey (Vice Chairman)
Councillor J Berry (from 6.21pm)
Councillor P Hulbert
Councillor J Jarvis

Councillor R Jones
Councillor J McHugh
Councillor R Nobbs
Councillor K Yarrow

Also Present: N Alecock – Deputy Town Clerk, C O'Reilly – Events Manager, Cllr Winter (as an observer) and 8 Members of the Public.

	Minute	Action by
D/25/03/17 <u>Chair to Read the Fire Safety Notice and Announce that Proceedings May be Filmed or Recorded</u> The Chair welcomed everyone, opened the meeting, and read out the Fire Safety Notice and confirmed that no-one intended to record the meeting.		
D/25/03/18 <u>Apologies</u> Apologies were received from Cllrs Drummond, Hood and Perry.		
D/25/03/19 <u>Declaration of Members Interests & to Remind Councillors of the need to keep up to date their Register of Member's Interests and to Consider any Requests Received for Member's Dispensation</u> None noted. There were no requests for dispensation.		
D/25/03/20 <u>To Confirm the Minutes of the Meeting Held on 3rd March 2025 and any Matters Arising</u> The Chair presented the minutes of the Development & Planning Committee held on 3rd March 2025 and Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Yarrow. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed: <u>D/25/03/20.01 Resolved</u> That the minutes of the Development & Planning Committee held on 3rd March 2025 be adopted and were signed as a true record by the Chair of the Development & Planning Committee. There were no matters arising.		
D/25/03/21 <u>Public Open Session</u> 2 members of the public spoke with regards to the proposal for George Lambton Playing Fields. <i>Cllr Berry joined the meeting at 6.21pm.</i> <i>Cllr Winter joined the meeting as an observer at 6.22pm.</i>		

The Chair proposed that item 13 be brought forward, seconded by Cllr Yarrow. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/21.01 Resolved

That item 13 be brought forward.

George Lambton Playing Fields

The presentation from Newmarket Rugby Club at the last meeting was briefly discussed. Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Berry. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/21.02 Resolved

The Committee agreed to postpone until further information had been received in the form of a consultation from West Suffolk Council.

8 members of the public left the meeting.

D/25/03/22 Planning Applications

Week Commencing 24/02/2025 & 03/03/2025.

D/25/03/22/1 DC/25/0315/TPO – TPO 234 (1972) Tree preservation order – one Beech (T1 on plan within A6 on order) remove large left limb and large right-hand limb, with crown thinning by 15% - 12 Elliott Close, Newmarket. Cllr Harvey made a proposal, seconded by Cllr Nobbs. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/22.01 Resolved

The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and does not object to this application, subject to the Tree Officer's approval.

D/25/03/23 Amended and Deferred Plans

D/25/03/23/1 DC/24/1096/HH – Reconsultation - Householder planning application – a. new access b. alterations to hardstanding and landscaping – Boyarin Lodge, 30 Bury Road, Newmarket. Cllr Harvey made a proposal, seconded by Cllr Jarvis. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/23.01 Resolved

The Committee supports the objection from the Arboricultural Officer and continues to do so until the officer is satisfied with the application.

D/25/03/23/2 DC/25/0235/TPO – Reconsultation - TPO/001 (2024) Tree preservation order – five Sycamore (T7, T8, T9, T10, and T11 on plan G1 on order) fell – Palace House, Palace Street, Newmarket. Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Yarrow. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/23.02 Resolved

The Committee agreed to endorse its previous comments.

D/25/03/23/3 DC/24/1465/FUL – Planning application – a. outbuilding with dust extraction

system – International House, Willie Snaith Road, Newmarket. Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Hulbert. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/23.03 Resolved

The Committee voiced an objection until clarification is provided on what material or product is being extracted and that reassurance is provided that this does not have the potential to damage the air quality.

D/25/03/24 Planning Appeals

None noted.

D/25/03/25 East Cambs Planning Applications

The East Cambs Weekly Lists were noted.

D/25/03/26 To Receive Results of Applications as Determined by West Suffolk and Plans Withdrawn

There were no West Suffolk Planning Determinations received during weeks commencing 24/02/2025 & 03/03/2025.

Applications	Description	Address	WS Decision	NTC Decision
DC/25/0190/TCA	Trees in a conservation area notification - a. one Sycamore (T1 on plan) pollard at five metres b. one Sycamore (T2 on plan) overall crown reduction by two metres c. one Sycamore (T3 on plan) fell inferior stem on south-eastern side & pollard remaining stem at 3.5 metres just above fork	Bury Lodge, 56 Bury Road, Newmarket, Suffolk	Approved	No objections
DC/25/0103/TCA	Trees in a conservation area notification - a. six Sycamore (T1-T6) one Beech (T7) one Ash (T8) one Horse Chestnut (T9) fell b. one Lime (T10) pollard to fork at approximately 10 metres to bring down to height of adjacent Limes	1 Godolphin Stables, Stanley Stud House, Snailwell Road, Newmarket, Suffolk	Approved	No objections
DC/25/0031/TPO	TPO 13 (1998) tree preservation order - four limes (on order and within area G1 on plan) crown reduction in height by five metres back to previous pruning points The Gallops, Old Station Road, Newmarket, Suffolk	The Gallops, Old Station Road, Newmarket, Suffolk	Approved	No objections
DC/24/1834/ADV	Application for advertisement consent – a. one replacement internally illuminated fascia sign b. one internally illuminated post mounted projecting sign –	Manchetts, Victoria Way, Newmarket, Suffolk	Approved	No objections
DC/24/1801/FUL	Planning application - a. joining of units to extend existing MOT test and repair centre (class B2) b. associated external alterations	Studlands Park Industrial Estate, Unit 4 Studlands Park Avenue, Newmarket, Suffolk	Approved	No objections

D/25/03/27 Delegation Panel Decisions

None noted.

D/25/03/28 Licensing

None noted.

D/25/03/29 High Street

A proposal from a retailer for a loading bay to be installed in the High Street was re-considered. Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr Yarrow. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

1 member of the public joined the meeting at 6.46pm.

D/25/03/29.01 Resolved

The Committee reaffirmed their support of the proposal for a loading bay.

Also seek to clarify the position with the other High Street shops serviced by Drapery Row along with West Suffolk parking enforcement of that area and this bay which is currently double yellow lines.

D/25/03/30 Enforcement

The Working Group meets monthly and will feed back on the status (progress) of outstanding issues to this Committee.

D/25/03/31 RPZ

The Transport & Parking Working Group are awaiting a response from West Suffolk Council on a parking permit scheme alternative to the previously proposed Residents Parking Zone.

D/25/03/32 Street Naming

The developer has asked if the Council would agree to Wilmott Road as a street name on the former St Felix site due to the family of Nora Wilmott not being approached. Cllr Harvey made a proposal, seconded by Cllr Yarrow. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/32.01 Resolved

The Committee agreed that the family of Nora Wilmott should be approached for permission to use her name as a street sign; if this is not possible the Council do not accept the proposed name of Wilmott Road and will provide an alternative.

D/25/03/33 Local Plan Modification Re-consultation

The West Suffolk Local Plan Modification Consultation will be held from Tuesday 4th March to 15th April 2025. Cllr Harvey made a proposal, seconded by Cllr Yarrow. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

D/25/03/33.01 Resolved

The Committee agreed to delegate to a working group comprising of Cllrs Harvey, Hood and Yarrow to draft a response to present to the next meeting. The Neighbourhood Plan Working Group will also be consulted on the modifications.

D/25/03/34 Correspondence

None noted.

D/25/03/35 Date of Next Meeting

Monday 7th April 2025 at the Memorial Hall.

Meeting closed at 7.06pm.

Signed _____ Date _____

Summary of Resolutions/Recommendations

Resolution/Recommendation	Resolution/Recommendation Wording
<u>D/25/03/20.01 Resolved</u>	That the minutes of the Development & Planning Committee held on 3rd March 2025 be adopted and were signed as a true record by the Chair of the Development & Planning Committee.
<u>D/25/03/21.01 Resolved</u>	That item 13 be brought forward.
<u>D/25/03/21.02 Resolved</u>	The Committee agreed to postpone until further information had been received in the form of a consultation from West Suffolk Council.
<u>D/25/03/22.01 Resolved</u>	The Committee actively encourages responsible tree management and does not object to this application, subject to the Tree Officer's approval.
<u>D/25/03/23.01 Resolved</u>	The Committee supports the objection from the Arboricultural Officer and continues to do so until the officer is satisfied with the application.
<u>D/25/03/23.02 Resolved</u>	The Committee agreed to endorse its previous comments.
<u>D/25/03/23.03 Resolved</u>	The Committee voiced an objection until clarification is provided on what material or product is being extracted and that reassurance is provided that this does not have the potential to damage the air quality.
<u>D/25/03/29.01 Resolved</u>	The Committee reaffirmed their support of the proposal for a loading bay. Also seek to clarify the position with the other High Street shops serviced by Drapery Row along with West Suffolk parking enforcement of that area and this bay which is currently double yellow lines.
<u>D/25/03/32.01 Resolved</u>	The Committee agreed that the family of Nora Wilmott should be approached for permission to use her name as a street sign; if this is not possible the Council do not accept the proposed name of Wilmott Road and will provide an alternative.
<u>D/25/03/33.01 Resolved</u>	The Committee agreed to delegate to a working group comprising of Cllrs Harvey, Hood and Yarrow to draft a response to present to the next meeting. The Neighbourhood Plan Working Group will also be consulted on the modifications.

THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY



Newmarket

TOWN COUNCIL

Minutes of a Meeting of the Community Services Committee Held on Monday 3rd March 2025 at 7:30pm

Attendance:

Councillor P Winter (Chair)
Councillor J Borda
Councillor J Harvey

Councillor P Hulbert
Councillor J Jarvis
Councillor J McHugh
Councillor K Yarrow

Also Present: C Whitaker – Town Clerk, N Alecock – Deputy Town Clerk, J Ashton – Minute Assistant and 1 Member of the Press.

Minute

C/25/03/1 Chair to Read the Fire Safety Notice and Announce that Proceedings May be Filmed or Recorded

The Chair opened the meeting and announced that the fire safety notice was not required and confirmed that the meeting was being recorded by the Minute Assistant.

C/25/03/2 Apologies for Absence

Apologies were received from Cllr Nobbs.

C/25/03/3 Declaration of Member's Interests and to Remind Councillors of the Need to Keep up to Date Their Register of Member's Interests and to Consider Any Requests Received for Members Dispensation

None noted. There were no requests for dispensations.

C/25/03/4 To Receive and Confirm for Accuracy the Minutes of the Community Services Committee Meeting Held on Monday 6th January 2025 and Any Matters Arising

Members received the minutes of the Community Services Committee meeting held on 6th January 2025 and Cllr Winter made a proposal seconded by Cllr Yarrow. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

C/25/03/4.01 Resolved

That the minutes of the Community Services Committee meeting held on 6th January 2025 be adopted and signed as a true record by the Chair of the Community Services Committee.

There were no matters arising.

C/25/03/5 Public Open Session

No members of the public were present.

C/25/03/6 To Receive the Accounts

The accounts for February 2025 were received and noted.

C/25/03/7 Cemetery

The revised Cemetery Regulations for Newmarket Cemetery for 2025/2026 were

Book number 12 2024 -2025

considered and Cllr Winter made a proposal seconded by Cllr Hulbert. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

C/25/03/7.01 Recommendation

That subject to an amendment, the revised Cemetery Regulations for Newmarket Cemetery for 2025/2026 be approved and adopted.

C/25/03/8 Public Conveniences

Closure of the Town Council owned conveniences due to misuse and safety concerns were considered and Cllr Harvey made a proposal seconded by Cllr McHugh. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

C/25/03/8.01 Recommendation

That the public conveniences be closed from 1st April 2025 and only open when events are running in the Memorial Gardens and Town events.

C/25/03/9 Speed Indicator Devices

A proposal was made to transfer these assets to the Development and Planning Committee and Cllr Winter made a proposal seconded by Cllr Harvey. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

25/03/9.01 Recommendation

That the Speed Indicator Devices be transferred to the Development and Planning Committee.

C/25/03/10 Correspondence

None noted.

C/25/03/11 Date of Next Meeting

Tuesday 6th May 2025 at the Memorial Hall.

Meeting closed at 7:43pm.

Signed _____ Date _____

Summary of Recommendations

Recommendation	Recommendation Wording
<u>C/25/03/7.01 Recommendation</u>	That subject to an amendment, the revised Cemetery Regulations for Newmarket Cemetery for 2025/2026 be approved and adopted.
<u>C/25/03/8.01 Recommendation</u>	That the public conveniences be closed from 1st April 2025 and only open when events are running in the Memorial Gardens and Town events.
<u>C/25/03/9.01 Recommendation</u>	That the Speed Indicator Devices be transferred to the Development and Planning Committee.



Item C

Newmarket

TOWN COUNCIL

Minutes of a Meeting of the Finance & Policy Committee
Held on Monday 17th March 2025 at the Memorial Hall 7:15pm

Attendance:

Councillor J Harvey (Chairman)
Councillor J McHugh

Councillor P Winter
Councillor K Yarrow

Also Present: N Alecock – Deputy Town Clerk.

Minute

-
- F/25/03/1 Chairman to Read the Fire Safety Notice and Announce that Proceedings may be filmed or Recorded**
The Chairman opened the meeting and read out the Fire Safety Notice and confirmed that proceedings were not being recorded.
- F/25/03/2 Apologies for Absence**
Apologies were received from Cllrs Drummond, Hood, Hulbert and Perry.
- F/25/03/3 Declaration of Member's Interests and to Remind Councillors of the Need to Keep up to Date their Register of Member's Interests and to Consider any Requests Received for Member's Dispensation**
None noted. There were no requests for Member's Dispensation.
- F/25/03/4 To Confirm the Minutes of the Meeting Held on 20th January 2025 and any Matters Arising**
Members received the minutes of the Finance & Policy Committee meeting held on 20th January 2025 and Cllr Harvey made a proposal, seconded by Cllr Winter. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

F/25/03/4.01 Resolved
That the minutes of the Finance & Policy Committee meeting held on 20th January 2025 be adopted and signed as a true record by the Chairman of the Finance & Planning Committee.

There were no matters arising.
- F/25/03/5 Public Open Session**
No members of the public were present.
- F/25/03/6 Submission of Schedules of Payments for Information CB1 – CB5**
Members reviewed CB1 – CB5 for months 10 and 11 (2024/2025). Cllr Harvey made a proposal, seconded by Cllr Yarrow. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:

F/25/03/6.01 Recommendation
That the ratification of the schedules of payments for the period 01/01/2025 – 31/01/2025 and 01/02/2025 – 28/02/2025 (Cash Book 1 - 5) be received and adopted.

-
- F/25/03/7 To Confirm the Bank Statement Balances & Related Bank Reconciliation have been signed by the Chairman of F&P Committee**
The Chairman of the Finance & Policy Committee confirmed scrutiny of the bank reconciliations relating to the end of 28/02/2025 (month 11) for the Town Council's Current, Petty Cash, Salaries and Barclaycard Cashbooks.
- F/25/03/8 To Receive the Income and Expenditure**
The Income and Expenditure reports for February 2025 were received and noted.
- F/25/03/9 Small Grants**
2nd Newmarket Scout Group – the request for a grant for scout hut improvements was considered. Cllr Harvey made a proposal, seconded by Cllr Winter. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:
- F/25/03/9.01 Recommendation**
That the request from Newmarket Scout Group for a grant be approved and be awarded £1,000.
- Racing Centre- Primary Healthcare Funding – Year 2** - the request for a grant for 2025/2026 continuation of primary health care clinics was considered. Cllr Harvey made a proposal, seconded by Cllr Winter. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:
- F/25/03/9.02 Recommendation**
That the request from the Racing Centre Primary Healthcare for a grant be approved and be awarded a provisional £2,000 followed by a review, engaging with the Racing Centre to establish the impact of the reduction from last year's award of £4k which was seen as "pump-priming" and what actions they are taking to attract alternative funding sources to reduce dependency on the Town Council contribution and so that the service is sustainable.
- F/25/03/10 Policies**
Cllr Harvey made a proposal, seconded by Cllr Winter. A vote was taken which was unanimous and the following was agreed:
- F/24/03/10.01 Recommendation**
That the following policies be delegated to the Policies Working Group for review:
- Newmarket Town Council Standing Orders NTC/2.3
 - Newmarket Town Council Financial Regulations NTC/2.3
 - Newmarket Town Council Financial Risk Assessment
 - Newmarket Town Council Internal Control Statement
- F/25/03/11 Health, Safety and Facilities**
The monthly Health and Safety report was received and noted.
- F/25/03/12 Correspondence**
None noted.
- F/25/03/13 Date of Next Meeting**

Monday 19th May 2025 at the Memorial Hall.

Meeting closed at 7.40pm.

Signed _____ Date _____

Summary of Recommendations	Recommendation Wording
<u>F/25/03/6.01 Recommendation</u>	That the ratification of the schedules of payments for the period 01/01/2025 – 31/01/2025 and 01/02/2025 – 28/02/2025 (Cash Book 1 - 5) be received and adopted.
<u>F/25/03/9.01 Recommendation</u>	That the request from Newmarket Scout Group for a grant be approved and be awarded £1,000.
<u>F/25/03/9.02 Recommendation</u>	That the request from the Racing Centre Primary Healthcare for a grant be approved and be awarded a provisional £2,000 followed by a review, engaging with the Racing Centre to establish the impact of the reduction from last year's award of £4k which was seen as "pump-priming" and what actions they are taking to attract alternative funding sources to reduce dependency on the Town Council contribution and so that the service is sustainable.
<u>F/24/03/10.01 Recommendation</u>	That the following policies be delegated to the Policies Working Group for review: • Newmarket Town Council Standing Orders NTC/2.3 • Newmarket Town Council Financial Regulations NTC/2.3 • Newmarket Town Council Financial Risk Assessment • Newmarket Town Council Internal Control Statement

THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

NORFOLK AND SUFFOLK DEVOLUTION CONSULTATION

Establishing a Mayoral Combined Authority

across Norfolk County Council and Suffolk County Council

Topic of this consultation: This consultation seeks views on a proposal to form a Mayoral Combined County Authority for the local government areas of Norfolk County Council and Suffolk County Council.

In December 2024, the government published the [English Devolution White Paper](#). This sets out plans to move power out of Westminster and back to local communities, ensuring that every part of England is covered by devolution. The local council leaders from Norfolk County Council and Suffolk County Council have since written to government expressing their interest in taking forward devolution within their area through the establishment of a Mayoral Combined County Authority, with the first election for a Mayor taking place in May 2026. Before taking a decision on whether to proceed with the making of the necessary legislation, the government is seeking views from interested parties, including those who live and work in the area.

Scope of this consultation: This consultation seeks views, particularly from interested parties, including those who live and work across Norfolk and Suffolk, on the effect of establishing a Mayoral Combined County Authority in the area. It includes questions on the proposed geography and how the Combined County Authority will make decisions, together with questions on the effects of working across this geography through a Mayoral Combined County Authority.

In some parts of the country, there may be proposals for reorganisation of existing councils. This is a separate process and is not covered by this consultation. Further information on this is set out in this document.

Body or bodies responsible for the consultation: Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG)

Duration: This consultation will last until 13 April 2025 at 23:59.

How to respond: We encourage everyone to use this opportunity to share your opinions on the proposal for the establishment of a Mayoral Combined County Authority across this area.

The easiest way for you to respond and engage in the consultation is by completing the online form at <https://consult.communities.gov.uk/lggc/norfolk-and-suffolk-devolution-consultation>. Once you are on the website, you will be guided through the specific questions on which we are seeking views.

If you are unable to provide your views through the online form, you can alternatively email or post your response to the questions. Details on how to do this are set out in Annex B. Please follow the format of the questions as set out in Annex B. For email and postal responses, make it clear which area consultation you are responding to (there are a number of live consultations on English devolution). This consultation is about a proposal to form a Mayoral Combined County Authority for the local government areas of Norfolk County Council and Suffolk County Council.

Geographical scope: These proposals only have direct effect within the geographical area covered by the local councils listed above.



1. Background

1.1 Devolution context

Through devolution, areas and their local leaders receive more powers, functions and funding to take decisions about local priorities. Currently, over 34 million people – around 61% of the population of England – are covered by a devolution arrangement. However, England remains one of the most centralised countries in the developed world, meaning decisions are too often taken by central government rather than by local leaders.

In December 2024, the government published the [English Devolution White Paper](#), which set out a new Devolution Framework for English devolution which will move power out of Westminster and into the hands of those who know their area best. The White Paper sets out how further devolution to local areas within England can help deliver economic growth and more joined-up delivery of public services, and increase trust in politics.

Devolution can achieve this through enabling more decisions to be taken at a more local level, thereby making it easier to tailor decisions to local needs and priorities; enabling more coordinated action in a place; giving communities a greater say in decisions that affect them; and driving innovation in policy and delivery.

The government's ultimate ambition on devolution is universal coverage across England, with a strong preference for every area to have a Mayor, so that every part of the country can unlock the benefits and opportunities that devolution brings.

1.2 Introduction to Combined County Authorities and Mayors

Combined County Authorities are organisations made up of a group of councils who come together over larger areas that people recognise and work in. They allow councils to work together in a structured way and take decisions over a wider area footprint, with powers and responsibilities being held by that Combined County Authority. Combined County Authorities do not replace the councils in your area – and services such as social care, libraries and education that are provided by the council continue to be provided by the council.

A Combined County Authority can be led by a directly elected Mayor. This is then known as a Mayoral Combined County Authority. The government is supportive of places having Mayors because they have a mandate to take big decisions affecting more people, they have convening power to tackle shared problems, and they are accountable to the local electorate for the decisions they take. Mayors in other parts of the country have become vital local leaders, delivering on the promise of change in their area to drive growth, more joined-up delivery, and earning trust.

1.3 The Devolution Priority Programme

Many parts of the country (such as Greater Manchester, Liverpool City Region, the East Midlands, York and North Yorkshire, and the West of England) now have Mayoral Combined Authorities or Mayoral Combined County Authorities. London also has a directly elected Mayor and the Greater London Authority. These institutions have received funding, powers and functions in areas like skills, transport, and housing. However, many parts of the country do not yet have devolution arrangements like this.

In the English Devolution White Paper, the government set out the ambition of delivering devolution to more parts of England, aiming to establish new Combined Authorities or Combined County Authorities that would have Mayors elected in May 2026.

To achieve this, the government has established the Devolution Priority Programme, to provide a fast-track to creating Mayoral Combined Authorities or Mayoral Combined County Authorities for areas ready to come together over sensible geographies which meet the criteria set out in the White Paper. These places will receive the full backing of government to deliver to these ambitious timescales.

In July 2024, the government invited areas currently without devolution arrangements to put forward proposals for how they would like to take on devolution in their area. Following the publication of the White Paper, these areas had the opportunity to seek to join the Devolution Priority Programme. The government received positive responses from a number of different places, and local council leaders of Norfolk County Council and Suffolk County Council applied to join the Devolution Priority Programme to establish a Mayoral Combined County Authority over the geography of those local councils.

Following an assessment of geography and readiness, the government announced that Norfolk and Suffolk will be part of the Devolution Priority Programme, along with the five other areas listed below:

- Cumbria (Cumberland Council, Westmorland and Furness Council)
- Cheshire and Warrington (Cheshire East Council, Cheshire West and Chester Council, Warrington Borough Council)
- Hampshire and the Solent (Hampshire County Council, Portsmouth City Council, Isle of Wight Council, Southampton City Council)

- Greater Essex (Essex County Council, Thurrock Council, Southend-on-Sea City Council)
- Sussex and Brighton (East Sussex County Council, West Sussex County Council, Brighton and Hove City Council)

1.4 Implementing devolution in Norfolk and Suffolk

There is a statutory process that has to be followed to establish a Combined County Authority. This includes a public consultation to seek local views and inform a final decision by government.

The government is minded to establish a Mayoral Combined County Authority for Norfolk and Suffolk. It will take a decision on whether to proceed with the necessary implementing legislation after considering responses to this consultation and whether a series of statutory tests have been met. In particular, Ministers will need to decide:

- whether they consider that the establishment of a Combined County Authority in the area is likely to improve the economic, social and environmental wellbeing of some or all of the people who live or work in the area
- whether establishing a Combined County Authority in the area is appropriate, having regard to the need to:
 - secure effective and convenient local government; and,
 - reflect the identities and interests of local communities.

Ministers will also consider all factors in the round when taking the decision on whether to proceed with the necessary implementing legislation, including an equalities impact assessment and an environmental principles policy statement.

If a decision is taken to proceed, and providing councils consent, the next stage is for ministers to make secondary legislation establishing the Combined County Authority and providing for the election of a Mayor. This legislation will also confer some transport and economic regeneration functions onto the Combined County Authority. This will allow the Combined County Authority to begin work on delivering local priorities from day one.

The Mayor for the area would then also represent the area on the Council of Nations and Regions, which includes the Prime Minister, the First Minister of Scotland, the First Minister of Wales, the First and Deputy First Minister of Northern Ireland, and the Mayors of Combined Authorities and Combined County Authorities of England. The Mayor would also represent the area on the Mayoral Council (which includes the Deputy Prime Minister and the Mayors of Combined Authorities and Combined County Authorities of England) to enable the area's voice to be heard at a national level.

If the decision is taken not to proceed, then the Mayoral Combined County Authority would not be established to the timelines of the Devolution Priority Programme. This would mean that the area would not receive the full suite of powers, funding and functions as set out in Section 3 of this consultation, and the area would not be represented on the Council of Nations and Regions. The government will continue to work with local councils on the best devolution approach for their area.

The government will introduce an English Devolution Bill to Parliament in due course. The intention of that Bill is to automatically confer all the powers and functions in the Devolution Framework to the Mayoral Combined County Authority once it is established. Section 3 of this consultation outlines what these functions would be and explains the Devolution Framework.

If the Bill does not receive Royal Assent and its provisions do not come into force, the government intends to bring forward secondary legislation to confer the functions in the Devolution Framework to the Mayoral Combined County Authority.

2. Proposed Institution, Governance and Decision Making Arrangements

2.1 Name and geographic area

It is proposed that a Mayoral Combined County Authority is established over the local council areas of Norfolk County Council and Suffolk County Council. The government's proposal is that the Combined County Authority would be formally known as the Norfolk and Suffolk Combined County Authority.

2.2 Membership

Constituent councils

The councils of Norfolk County Council and Suffolk County Council would be the constituent councils of the proposed Combined County Authority. Each council would appoint two representatives to be constituent members. This means that there would be four constituent council members in total. Constituent councils would each be able to appoint the same number of substitute members as they appoint constituent members to act in the absence of their constituent members.

The Mayor

The Combined County Authority would have a Mayor, directly elected by local government electors (which are those eligible to vote in local council elections who are on the electoral register) across the two constituent council areas. The first mayoral election would take place on 7 May 2026. Mayors are typically elected on 4-year terms.

The Mayor would be a constituent member of the Combined County Authority, and exercise the mayoral functions outlined in the Devolution Framework on behalf of the Combined County Authority.

The Mayor would be required to appoint a deputy Mayor from among the constituent members to act in their absence or if the office of the Mayor is vacant. The Mayor would be permitted to appoint a maximum of one political advisor.

Non-constituent and associate members

Norfolk and Suffolk Combined County Authority's constituent members would be able to appoint a maximum of 4 non-constituent and associate members to support the delivery of their work programme. Non-constituent members are representatives of an organisation; for example, a district council, local NHS trust, the Police and Crime Commissioner, or a local registered provider. Associate members are named individuals who can provide particular experience or expertise – for example, on active travel, or local businesses.

2.3 Role of district councils

Much of England has two tiers of local government – upper-tier county councils and lower-tier district councils – with responsibility for local services split between the two.

While all the councils in an area are constituent members of a Combined Authority, the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023 introduced Combined County Authorities consisting of upper tier local councils only.

District councils cannot be full constituent members of a Combined County Authority but can participate as non-constituent members and serve on committees (see section 2.5 on non-constituent members' role in decision making).

2.4 Combined County Authority powers, functions and funding

The Combined County Authority and its Mayor would have some functions conferred onto them as part of the secondary legislation establishing the Combined County Authority as an institution. These functions would enable them to begin work on delivering local priorities from day one and are expected to include economic development and transport.

The [English Devolution White Paper](#) sets out the government's ambitions for devolution. This includes the powers, functions and funding available to Combined County Authorities – a summary table is included at Annex A.

The collection of powers, functions and funding is known as the Devolution Framework. The powers, functions and funding of a Combined County Authority vary depending on its governance and maturity. The government's intention would be for the Norfolk and Suffolk Combined County Authority to have the powers, functions and funding set out at the mayoral level of the framework. These functions would give the Combined County Authority and the Mayor greater local control over areas such as transport, adult education and skills, and housing to enable improvements in local connectivity, educational outcomes, economic development, driving economic growth and improved social wellbeing.

The government intends to implement the Devolution Framework via the English Devolution Bill; the functions within it would then automatically be conferred onto any existing Combined County Authority if the Bill receives Royal Assent, and the provisions come into force.

2.5 Decision making at the Combined County Authority

The Combined County Authority's constituent members (the Mayor and four constituent council members) would be the decision makers within the Combined County Authority. Constituent members would automatically have voting rights. Non-constituent members can be given voting rights at the discretion of the Combined County Authority; associate members would not be able to be given voting rights.

All members would have a single vote. The default voting arrangement for Combined County Authority decisions to be approved would be a simple majority in favour, including the Mayor (for example, 3 out of 5).

No decisions can be made at a Combined County Authority meeting unless the Mayor (or deputy Mayor) and at least three constituent council members are present.

2.6 Oversight

To ensure effective scrutiny of decision making and audit of how resources are used, the Combined County Authority would have at least one Overview and Scrutiny Committee, and one Audit Committee, in line with the requirements of the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023.

Further improvements to accountability and oversight are in development and are included in Section 5 of the English Devolution White Paper ahead of legislation being laid.

2.7 Remuneration

Norfolk and Suffolk Combined County Authority would approve a scheme for the allowances for constituent members, following consideration of a report from an Independent Remuneration Panel. The Mayor can be paid an allowance as agreed by the constituent council members, also following consideration of a report from an Independent Remuneration Panel.

2.8 Local government reorganisation and its impacts

Councils in your area have been invited to develop proposals for new unitary local government. This would replace the existing two tier system, where services are split between a county and district council, and bring these services together in unitary local government, creating opportunities for service transformation which can support improvements in delivery. The aim is to build empowered, simplified, resilient and sustainable local government for your area that will increase value for money for council taxpayers and enable more funding to be spent on local public services.

This process (known as “local government reorganisation”) is a separate process to the one in this consultation. On 5 February 2025, the Minister of State for Local Government and English Devolution invited all councils in your area to develop unitary proposals. All councils in your area have been invited to undertake wide engagement before submitting robust and evidenced unitary proposals to government by 26 September. The established assessment and decision making process will then be followed to determine which, if any, of the proposals submitted are to be implemented.

Further information is being made available at [Local government reorganisation: Policy and programme updates - GOV.UK](#)

This local government reorganisation process does not affect the potential establishment of this Combined County Authority or the Mayoral election in May 2026. In due course, if a proposal is implemented, any new unitary councils will become the constituent members of the Combined County Authority and, legally, it will become a Combined Authority. This change does not affect the functions/powers or funding available to the area. The governance and decision making arrangements within the Combined Authority will then be updated to reflect any changes needed.

Legislation has also been made to postpone local council elections from May 2025 to May 2026 in: Norfolk County Council and Suffolk County Council; Essex County Council and Thurrock Council; Hampshire County Council and the Isle of Wight Council; East Sussex County Council and West Sussex County Council. This will allow these councils to deliver both devolution and local government reorganisation to the most ambitious timeline, working toward the first election for the Mayors of the Combined County Authorities in May 2026.

3. What powers would be available

The [English Devolution White Paper](#) sets out the powers, functions and funding for all Combined County Authorities such as the one proposed in this consultation. This is known as the Devolution Framework. The framework is the floor, not the ceiling, of the government's ambitions, which means it will be reviewed regularly to consider whether it can be deepened, with more powers being given to local areas that have a Combined County Authority with a Mayor.

The framework has three tiers – Foundation, Mayoral, and Established Mayoral. If the Mayoral Combined County Authority in this consultation was created, it would be set up at the 'Mayoral' tier. The institution could then progress in the future to the 'Established Mayoral' tier, subject to meeting certain eligibility criteria (see section 2.2.4 of the White Paper). This means that, at the Established Mayoral tier, it would be eligible to receive more powers and funding from central government.

The framework is to be implemented through the English Devolution Bill, subject to its passage through Parliament and receiving Royal Assent. A table summarising the devolution framework is provided in Annex A, and a more detailed summary of what powers, functions and funding the Combined County Authority would receive under that Bill is set out below. For full detail of the powers, functions and funding that the Combined County Authority would receive based on being in the Mayoral tier, please refer to Chapter 3 of the English Devolution White Paper.

3.1 Funding

The below sets out the types of funding available to Mayoral Combined County Authorities.

The Mayoral Combined County Authority would receive devolved funding from central government, including those relating to the following policies:

- housing and regeneration
- local growth
- adult skills (except apprenticeships)
- local transport

This gives the Mayoral Combined County Authority control over how funding is spent, including to tailor how it is spent to meet local needs in the area. As with all government programmes, the precise programmes that will be devolved (and the amount of funding) will be determined through the Spending Review in June (which is central government's process for setting budgets, which determines which government programmes exist and their funding amount).

However, Mayoral Combined Authorities that were in existence in the Spending Review period 2021 to 2024 received funding from central government, such as:

- funding to support housing on brownfield sites in the area.
- funding for local growth in the area.
- devolved funding for skills, such as the Adult Skills Fund, which is used to fund education and training for adults aged 19 and above.

- devolved funding for local transport, such as funding to support maintaining and improving the highways network and funding to support bus services and keep fares down.

In addition, the Mayoral Combined County Authority would receive a 30-year 'Investment Fund' from central government. The amount of this fund would be confirmed at the government's Spending Review in June 2025. However, the parameters of it are:

- it is a flexible fund for local leaders to drive economic growth. The priorities for it are set locally through the Mayoral Combined County Authority – not by central government
- it is long term, covering a period of 30 years. The intention of this is to give certainty when local leaders (through the Mayoral Combined County Authority) make decisions on investments
- it is a mix of revenue spending (which can be spent on 'day-to-day' policies like adult skills) and capital spending (which is money spent on investment in policies like housing).

The Mayoral Combined County Authority would also receive capacity funding from central government to help set up the new organisation.

The Mayoral Combined County Authority would have the ability to introduce a mayoral precept on council tax should they choose to do so. This allows the authority to raise money to fund local priorities.

3.2 Transport and local infrastructure

The government believes that high quality transport infrastructure and services support growth and opportunity, and that bringing decisions about transport closer to people is key to improving the transport networks everyone relies on. The Combined County Authority would become the Local Transport Authority for its area, which means it is responsible for public transport services, like buses, instead of local authorities.

Buses and active travel

In many parts of England, bus services have been deregulated since the Transport Act 1985. This means services are run by private bus operators who set the routes, fares, and timetables.

As a Local Transport Authority, the Mayoral Combined County Authority would be empowered (but not required) to change this approach across the whole area in order to improve local bus services. They could decide to pursue:

- an Enhanced Partnership, under which Local Transport Authorities (like the Mayoral Combined County Authority) have more powers to work with bus operators to set a vision for bus services across the whole area and a plan to help achieve these improvements
- a franchised system, under which the Mayoral Combined County Authority awards contracts for buses in their area (or in specific routes), and these contracts can be used to determine things like routes, timetables, fares and branding. This is the model used in London and introduced recently in Greater Manchester
- publicly owned bus companies, which could work alongside either an Enhanced Partnership or a franchised bus system

The powers for this are set out in the government's Bus Services Bill and more information on the powers available to Local Transport Authorities (such as the Mayoral Combined County Authority) is set out in the [overview of the Bus Services Bill](#).

The Combined County Authority would play an important role in decarbonising transport and reducing the environmental and health impacts of transport. The government would expect the Combined County Authority to develop plans to decarbonise and reduce air pollution from their local bus fleet, including how and when emissions reductions will be delivered.

Active travel (such as walking, wheeling, and cycling) delivers a range of benefits for people and communities. Active Travel England (which is a central government organisation sponsored by the Department for Transport) would support the Combined County Authority to increase capability and address skill gaps to ensure a consistent approach to safety and accessibility for all users, with a right to request capability assessments for their constituent authorities.

Rail

The government is seeking to put passengers and local communities back at the heart of railways and to protect their interests. The proposed Mayoral Combined County Authority would play an important role in this:

- the Mayor would have a statutory role (meaning a role set out in law) in governing, managing, planning, and developing the rail network. The intention is that the Mayor can use this role to embed the voice of their community in decisions on the rail network. Government will consult on this role ahead of the legislation required
- if they meet transparent criteria, the Mayor would also be given the option for greater control over local rail stations. Rail stations are generally currently controlled either by central government, through a body known as Network Rail, or by train operating companies. Mayors will be given the option of greater control so they can capitalise on the opportunities in and around rail stations for local people, for economic growth, accessibility, and intermodal connectivity. For example, this might include regenerating the area around the rail station, working to join up different forms of transport, or building homes
- engagement with the Department for Transport on its national rail planning processes – this has been trialled with Greater Manchester and West Midlands Combined Authorities already, and is intended to allow local ambitions to be better reflected in national policy
- the government would work in close collaboration with the Mayoral Combined County Authority to deliver shared ambitions on ticketing. London has shown how ticketing systems that are integrated across different forms of transport can help to get more people onto public transport. The Department for Transport (through an organisation known as Great British Railways) is committed to working in partnership with Mayoral Combined County Authorities to deliver these sorts of ambitions, building on existing 'pay-as-you-go rail pilots' (which are trials that allow passengers to tap in and out of stations using a contactless card or device)

Roads

The Mayoral Combined County Authority would play a key coordination role in the local road network. It would work with National Highways on the strategic road network (which covers motorways and some A roads), and its constituent councils on local roads. Responsibility for local roads would remain with the councils, and not with the Mayoral Combined County Authority.

This includes:

- The Mayoral Combined County Authority would set up and coordinate a ‘Key Route Network’ (KRN) on behalf of the Mayor. A KRN is a collection of locally important roads – the aim of setting it up is to allow for major roads to be managed in a strategic way, to improve traffic flow, reduce congestion, introduce bus priority, or cycle infrastructure across an area. Mayors would also hold a ‘power of direction’ over this network to support delivery of their agreed Local Transport Plan. This means they can require a constituent council to use their road powers in a way that is consistent with the KRN.
- As the Local Transport Authority, the Mayoral Combined County Authority would be empowered to regulate on-street micromobility schemes (like hire bikes), so local areas can shape these schemes around their needs, connect people to public transport, and tackle the problem of badly-parked cycles and e-cycles.
- Subject to a separate consultation, it is proposed that the government will devolve approval of local Lane Rental schemes to Mayoral Combined County Authorities. This would enable constituent councils to charge the companies undertaking roadworks on busy roads at busy times with the aim of minimising disruption by seeking the Mayoral Combined County Authority’s approval, rather than the Department for Transport.
- National Highways are committed to formalising and strengthening its relationship with Mayoral Combined County Authorities, which will ensure a more cohesive approach to the management and development of England’s strategic road network alongside local roads.

3.3 Skills and employment support

The Mayoral Combined County Authority would receive:

- devolved adult skills funding and functions from the Department for Education. Adult skills funding is intended to support adults aged over 19 to participate in education and training
- devolution of future ‘supported employment’ funding from the Department for Work and Pensions, which is funding to help disabled people, people with health conditions, and those with more complex barriers to work to find a suitable job and sustain work – it can also be used to help those in work but at risk of falling out of the labour market to retain their job

These funds would support the Mayoral Combined County Authority to do things like tailor the training and support for adults in the area to the types of jobs available locally, and to local priorities. The government has also committed to giving Mayoral Combined County Authorities a substantive role in co-designing any future national employment support programmes that is additional to the core work done by Jobcentre Plus. The Mayoral Combined County Authority would also be asked to produce a local Get Britain Working Plan for its

area, which would be focused on reducing economic inactivity and driving integration between national and local health, work, and skills provision in the area.

The Mayoral Combined County Authority would also take on joint ownership of the Local Skills Improvement Plan model with Employer Representative Bodies. These Plans set the strategic direction for skills provision in the area and could help to facilitate opportunities for 16-19-year-olds to undertake apprenticeships, education and training that lead to good quality employment opportunities.

3.4 Housing and strategic planning

The government is committed to delivering 1.5 million new homes in this Parliament, and Mayoral Combined County Authorities are integral to meeting that commitment.

- The Mayoral Combined County Authority would be given control of funding to support regeneration and housing delivery. As set out in section 3.1, the precise funds and amount would be determined through the next Spending Review. However, as context, Mayoral Combined County Authorities that existed in the period 2021 to 2024 received control of funding from the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government to support the delivery of housing on brownfield sites.
- The Mayoral Combined County Authority would have a strategic place partnership with Homes England (which is a central government organisation sponsored by the Ministry for Housing, Communities, and Local Government) – a formal partnership arrangement which brings together their respective strengths and resources.
- The Mayoral Combined County Authority would also have powers to drive regeneration in their area. For example, they would have powers to establish Mayoral Development Corporations, which are statutory bodies created to help deliver regeneration schemes. Housing and strategic planning powers are set out in Section 3.5 of the English Devolution White Paper.
- The government has recently announced that, in all parts of the country, groups of councils will be required to work together to develop Spatial Development Strategies (SDS). In this area, that work would be led by the Mayoral Combined County Authority, with the Mayor empowered to develop and propose the SDS. Once an SDS is in place, the Mayor would also be given powers, similar to those held by the Mayor of London, to ‘call in’ planning applications of ‘strategic importance’. These powers will enable Mayors to scrutinise the most significant planning proposals in their area to ensure they support the SDS. The Mayor would also receive the ability to charge new developments (such as developments led by private sector housebuilders) in their area. This is known as a ‘Mayoral Community Infrastructure Levy’ and the revenue collected is used to help deliver local infrastructure.

3.5 Economic development and regeneration

The Mayoral Combined County Authority would play a crucial role in attracting international investment for the area – supporting business to thrive and grow, and creating vibrant places where people want to live and work.

To help achieve this, the Mayoral Combined County Authority would take lead responsibility for managing and focusing local programmes that provide businesses with support and advice on things like introductions to local supply chains or help with starting a new business (known as ‘business support’ programmes). This involves

hosting the local Growth Hub, which is part of a national Business Growth Service but can tailor its services to the needs of the local economy and local businesses. The Mayoral Combined County Authority and the Department for Business and Trade would form a strategic partnership to align national and local policymaking. The partnership would also ensure effective delivery of interventions to boost domestic business growth, boost exports, encourage inward investment and grow the co-operative and mutual economy.

To support the Mayoral Combined County Authority to unlock their area's innovation potential, working in partnership with businesses and universities, there would be stronger direct connections with UK Research and Innovation (UKRI), which is a central government organisation that directs research and innovation funding, funded through the science budget of the Department for Science, Innovation and Technology.

Given the importance of culture, heritage, sport, and tourism to local economies and communities, the Department of Culture, Media and Sport (and its arm's length bodies, such as Historic England) would explore a deeper, collaborative partnership with the Mayoral Combined County Authority.

3.6 Environment and climate change

Making Britain a clean energy superpower is one of the government's 5 defining missions. The decarbonisation journey will support efforts to protect the natural environment and biodiversity. The Mayoral Combined County Authority would be a crucial partner in transitioning Great Britain to a low-cost, clean power energy system by 2030, and in implementing the Warm Homes Plan to save households money on their bills and to reduce the UK's carbon emissions. The Combined County Authority would have a role in co-ordinating the zoning of local heat networks, meaning they would play a role in the delivery of heat decarbonisation.

The Combined County Authority would also have a strategic role on net zero including on Great British Energy's Local Power Plan and Warm Homes Plan. In order to provide local, place-based environmental leadership, the Combined County Authority would play a leadership role in Local Nature Recovery Strategies, through convening partnerships and coordinating action, funding and delivery.

3.7 Health, wellbeing, and public service reform

The government believes that Mayoral Combined County Authorities have a key role to play in improving health and wellbeing alongside wider public service reform. To support the Mayoral Combined County Authority to drive a "health in all policies" approach, it would have a new bespoke duty in relation to health improvement and health inequalities. This duty would ensure that the Mayoral Combined County Authority takes into account the need to improve health outcomes and reduce health inequalities when exercising their powers and functions, giving them a clear stake in improving local health outcomes.

By working with other local leaders, the institution would also be able to bring together local partners to work together on reforming, and driving improvements in, public services. For example, the government has established an expectation that Mayors will be considered for the role of chair for the NHS Integrated Care Partnership. The government would also work with stakeholders in the Mayoral Combined County Authority's area to identify areas to facilitate the alignment of public service boundaries and closer working when there is a clear rationale for doing so, and where the benefits significantly exceed any costs and risks incurred.

3.8 Public safety

The Mayoral Combined County Authority would have an important role to play in achieving the government's Safer Streets Mission, supporting rehabilitation, and reducing reoffending, and in supporting the safety of their residents and the resilience of their communities. This should complement the role local councils play in this area. To deliver this, the government is committed to increasing the number of Mayors who take on Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) and Fire and Rescue Authority (FRA) responsibilities. Generally, where devolution geographies align with police force and fire and rescue geographies, Mayors would become responsible for exercising PCC and FRA functions.

3.9 Established Mayoral Status

In time, the Mayoral Combined County Authority could apply for 'Established Mayoral' Status, which is a deeper level of devolution – meaning, for example, more powers and funding from central government. If they achieved this status, they would automatically receive the additional powers available at that level, including an Integrated Settlement, which will give the area even greater funding freedom and flexibility. The conditions the Mayoral Combined County Authority would need to meet to become eligible are set out in Section 2.2.4 of the English Devolution White Paper; and the deeper powers available to them are summarised in the fourth column of the table in Annex A of this consultation document.

4. What this means for Norfolk and Suffolk

4.1 Overview of Norfolk and Suffolk

Norfolk and Suffolk has a total population of over 1.7 million people – Norfolk is home to approximately 931,000 people and Suffolk home to around 776,000.^{[\[footnote 1\]](#)}

Both Norfolk and Suffolk are large geographic counties with urban, rural and coastal communities. The urban centres of Ipswich and Norwich are dynamic with a rich cultural heritage and are important anchor points for businesses and individuals. The rural nature of the region brings with it strengths in agriculture, food and agri-tech, natural capital, biodiversity and visitor economy, and clean energy. The area is home to three Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, and there are 213 designated sites of special scientific interest in Suffolk and 163 in Norfolk.^{[\[footnote 2\]](#)}

Within the area there are nationally significant ports for clean energy and agri-food sectors in Lowestoft, Great Yarmouth and Ipswich. Suffolk is also home to the port at Felixstowe, the UK's largest intermodal port, and the A14 corridor, making it a major strategic location for smart logistics hubs, port expansion and innovation.

Over 90% of the journeys in Norfolk and Suffolk start and finish in Norfolk and Suffolk, which demonstrates the extent to which commuting and other travel patterns are based around this area.

4.2 Economic and social outlook

Norfolk and Suffolk has a combined economy worth over £43 billion Gross Value Added (GVA).^{[\[footnote 4\]](#)}

Green growth is a core economic strength of the region, as Norfolk and Suffolk have a unique mix of onshore and offshore wind power generation, gas and nuclear generation, solar and emerging opportunities for hydrogen, meaning the region has a key role to play in helping to deliver this government's mission of making Britain a clean energy superpower. The area is home to the world's largest market for offshore wind energy, worth almost £1 billion a year,^{[\[footnote 5\]](#)} in Norfolk alone there is £39 billion of clean energy investment forecasted

over the next 20 years.^[footnote 6] Norfolk's energy sector has a GVA per head of £153.9,000, which is the highest of all sectors and provides high wage, high skills jobs.^[footnote 7]

Scottish Power Renewables have submitted plans to the Net Zero Hydrogen Fund (Department for Energy Security and Net Zero programme) for a £150 million green hydrogen hub at the Port of Felixstowe;^[footnote 8] alongside other hydrogen focussed opportunities, this will position Suffolk as a leader in hydrogen energy. Suffolk's nuclear energy capacity continues to grow with Sizewell C which will meet 7% of the UK's energy needs for at least 60 years. Once operational, Sizewell C will generate enough low-carbon electricity to power eight million homes and will create thousands of local jobs, contributing £4 billion to the regional economy.

Norfolk and Suffolk also have a strong agri-tech and agri-food sector, with some of the most productive farmland in the UK.^[footnote 9] This sector includes major UK and international companies such as Birdseye, British Sugar, Class, Adnams and Greene King and is projected to grow a further two and a half times by 2050 to £4 billion.^[footnote 10] The University of East Anglia is ranked number one in the country for its research impact in agri-tech and agri food.^[footnote 11]

The agri-tech and agri-food sector across Norfolk and Suffolk has synergies with a world-leading research base. Norwich Research Park is at the forefront of global food and health research, accounting for 12,000 jobs, including 3,000 clinicians.^[footnote 12] Meanwhile, Lowestoft is home to the government Centre for Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (Cefas) providing world leading marine science and technology.

Norfolk and Suffolk also has one of the largest financial services and general insurance clusters in Europe.^[footnote 13] Financial services and insurance represent Norfolk's second highest sector in terms of GVA per head at a value of £136,800.^[footnote 14] More specifically, Norwich has one of the UK's significant general insurance sectors outside of London, with strong growth ambitions around its FinTech offer – it is currently home to 24 FinTech firms, including the highest proportion of InsurTech firms (16%) of any English region outside of London.^[footnote 15] There are also large insurance sector employers in Suffolk and Ipswich such as Axa and Willis Tower Watson. In Ipswich there is a cluster of large insurance firms with niche markets such as marine, farm and crop insurance and a network of small financial services businesses adding to the strength of the sector locally. There are opportunities to leverage this cluster with the emerging fintech cluster in Norwich.^[footnote 16]

Advanced manufacturing and engineering is a key sector with specialisms in automotive, civil aviation, space, composites, and pharmaceuticals. Norfolk is home to 2,150 advanced manufacturing and engineering companies, with an even distribution across the county, generating GVA of £2bn (10% of Norfolk's total GVA)^[footnote 17] and in Suffolk the sector employs 41,200 people across 3,000 business units. Here, the sector contributes around £1.9bn in gross value added (GVA) to the economy per annum.^[footnote 18]

There are also opportunities in the construction industry – across both counties, construction employs around 40,000 people across approximately 10,000 businesses. For Suffolk, construction is a key growth sector, boasting 22% more jobs than the national average, which will expand significantly with the construction of Sizewell C, the largest building site in Europe.^[footnote 19]

Norfolk is home to a fast-growing digital tech economy with a current GVA of £450m and around 9,000 employees and is increasingly important across a range of sectors and in its own right.^[footnote 20] In Suffolk, Adastral Park, based on the outskirts of Ipswich, is home to a technology cluster of over 150 global ITC companies and BT's global research base.

With their rich heritage and culture and 140 miles of coastline, Norfolk and Suffolk have long been popular places to visit with both domestic and international visitors. Tourism is a cornerstone of both counties' economy; in Suffolk it generates around £2 billion annually^[footnote 21] and in Norfolk, tourism trips in 2022 alone provided the Norfolk economy with a total value of £3.4bn.^[footnote 22]

However, there are challenges across the area. Whilst there are direct rail links from Norwich, Ipswich and Kings Lynn to London there are challenges around transport connectivity throughout much of Norfolk and Suffolk, in part due to its rural nature. Car dependency is high, but there are no motorways in either county. Despite being one of the largest counties in the country, Norfolk has just two strategic trunk roads (A11 and A47) of which only one is fully dualled. The government has already started working with local authorities in Norfolk and Suffolk to improve local transport. For example, in November 2024, Government announced nearly £9 million to improve local bus services in Suffolk and nearly £16 million in Norfolk.^[footnote 23]

Whilst there are opportunities for local people to have high wage, high skill jobs in high growth sectors such as clean energy and digital technology, challenges around educational attainment across Norfolk and Suffolk may affect abilities of local people to access higher paying job roles, many of which require higher level skills and qualifications – only 38.6% of working age population have a Level 4+ qualification compared to the English average of 46.7%, and the area is also below the national average for Level 3+ qualifications.^[footnote 24] Some sectors locally face significant skills shortages in meeting their workforce needs, most acutely in science, technology, engineering and maths (STEM)-related skills and capabilities

In certain parts of Norfolk and Suffolk there are issues around housing affordability – outside of the areas of Ipswich, Norwich and Great Yarmouth, average house prices are 8 or more times higher than local average wages.^[footnote 25]

Some communities across Norfolk and Suffolk face long-term deprivation and barriers to wellbeing and economic opportunity. In Norfolk, this includes Great Yarmouth, Thetford, King's Lynn and Norwich, and in total more than 120,000 people are living in areas categorised in the 20% most deprived in England. In Suffolk, urban areas such as Ipswich and Lowestoft have particularly poor health outcomes compared to the rest of the county and the UK average, as well as lower social mobility and higher rates of child poverty. There are also hidden pockets of deprivation across rural, coastal and market town areas.

A lower proportion of people across Suffolk and Norfolk are economically active, at 76%, compared to the England average of 78.7%.^[footnote 26] Wages are lower, with more jobs than the national average in lower paying industries, while, of the 232,700 people across Norfolk and Suffolk who are economically inactive, 71,500 are long-term sick.^[footnote 27]

Norfolk and Suffolk have a higher proportion of older people compared to the national average: 24% of Norfolk and Suffolk's population is over 65 compared to 18.5% for England.^[footnote 28] This has implications for the area's labour market and health services.

4.3 Working across Norfolk and Suffolk

Norfolk and Suffolk have a long history of working together on local economic policy, including through the New Anglia Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) which brought together businesses, local authority partners and education institutions to drive growth and enterprise across the two counties. In 2022, the New Anglia LEP

produced a Suffolk and Norfolk economic strategy,^{[\[footnote 29\]](#)} and more recently both counties have developed economic strategies. Both Norfolk and Suffolk County Councils are already working together to explore how both economic strategies could be brought together.

In July 2024 a new joint Local Visitor Economy Partnership (LVEP) for Suffolk and Norfolk was agreed. The new LVEPs work in collaboration locally, regionally and nationally on shared priorities and targets. Their mission is to support and grow the visitor economy through robust destination management, strong stakeholder relationships and clear planning.^{[\[footnote 30\]](#)}

In addition, Transport East, Opportunity East, Water Resources East, Business Intermediaries and the Business Board network enable Norfolk and Suffolk business, education and local government leaders continue to constructively work together within the national and regional context.^{[\[footnote 31\]](#)}

4.4 How devolution could impact Norfolk and Suffolk

Based on the powers and funding available, as set out in Section 3, a Mayoral Combined County Authority across the proposed geography could have the following impacts:

- **Transport:** New transport powers, including through the Mayoral Combined County Authority becoming the Local Transport Authority, could make it easier for people to commute and travel around Norfolk and Suffolk. This could be particularly impactful in Norfolk and Suffolk given the percentage of journeys that start and finish in Norfolk and Suffolk. The Mayoral Combined County Authority could tackle existing transport issues through strategic engagement with Great British Railways and a statutory role for the Mayor in governing, managing, planning and developing the rail network. On buses, the Mayoral Combined County Authority could decide to pursue opportunities across the whole area such as a single ‘enhanced partnership’ or ‘bus franchising’. This could improve public transport provision, such as in rural areas, and enable more people to access a wider pool of job opportunities.
- **Skills:** The skills powers and funding available could allow the Mayoral Combined County Authority to tailor the training and support for adults in the area to the types of jobs available locally and to local priorities. This could allow, for example, a focus on the skills needs of its key sectors which could enable more local people to find high wage employment in those sectors.
- **Housing:** The funding and powers available to deliver new housing across the Mayoral Combined County Authority geography could help address issues around housing affordability in certain areas.
- **Economic development:** The Mayoral Combined County Authority would play a key role in attracting investment into Norfolk and Suffolk and supporting business growth. This could be particularly beneficial to key sectors such as advanced manufacturing and support the growing digital tech sector. This could also support new businesses in Norfolk and Suffolk to grow and succeed.
- **Environment and climate change:** A strong role for the Mayoral Combined County Authority, such as playing a leading role in local nature recovery strategies, could help maximise the benefits from Norfolk and Suffolk’s natural environment. As a partner in the government’s energy and climate change ambitions, the Mayoral Combined County Authority could also help the UK to meet its clean energy mission. This could include supporting the area’s hydrogen focussed opportunities and offshore wind market.

- Health, wellbeing and public service reform: The Mayoral Combined County Authority could play a key role in improving health and wellbeing, underpinned by a new bespoke duty in relation to health improvement and health inequalities. This would mean that the Mayoral Combined County Authority would need to have regard to the need to improve health, and reduce health inequalities, in the exercise of its functions. Combined with an expectation that the Mayor would be appointed to one or more of the relevant Integrated Care Partnerships in the area, this could help strengthen the focus and increase the join up of action to address issues around ill health and inequalities across the area which exist in certain communities across Norfolk and Suffolk.
- Representation: The Mayor would take a seat at the Prime Minister’s Council of Nations and Regions as well as the Deputy Prime Minister’s Mayoral Council, which would give the area a stronger voice with the government.

5 Consultation Questions

Question 1: To what extent do you agree or disagree that establishing a Mayoral Combined County Authority over the proposed geography will deliver benefits to the area?

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Don’t know
- Prefer not to say

Please explain your answer.

Question 2: To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed governance arrangements for the Mayoral Combined County Authority?

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Don’t know
- Prefer not to say

Please explain your answer.

Question 3: To what extent do you agree or disagree that working across the proposed geography through the Mayoral Combined County Authority will support the economy of the area?

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Don't know
- Prefer not to say

Question 4: To what extent do you agree or disagree that working across the proposed geography through the Mayoral Combined County Authority will improve social outcomes in the area?

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Don't know
- Prefer not to say

Please explain your answer.

Question 5: To what extent do you agree or disagree that working across the proposed geography through a Mayoral Combined County Authority will improve local government services in the area?

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Don't know
- Prefer not to say

Please explain your answer.

Question 6: To what extent do you agree or disagree that working across the proposed geography through a Mayoral Combined County Authority will improve the local natural environment and overall national environment?

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Don't know
- Prefer not to say

Please explain your answer.

Question 7: To what extent do you agree or disagree that working across the proposed geography through the Mayoral Combined County Authority will support the interests and needs of local communities and reflect local identities?

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Don't know
- Prefer not to say

Please explain your answer.

About You

1. Please tell us how you found out about this consultation.

(select one)

- Social media
- Email
- GOV.UK website
- Local council website
- Poster/ leaflet

- Word of mouth
- News outlet (newspaper / TV / online)
- Other

1. What best describes your response?

(Select one)

- I am a member of the public, giving my views as an individual
- I am responding on behalf of, or as a representative of, a business or organisation

For those responding as a member of the public giving views as an individual

3. What is the first part of the postcode where you live? For example, if your postcode is AB1 2CD, you would write AB1

We ask this so that we can identify what Local Authority you live in and understand a bit more about the area where you live. We do not use this information to identify you.

4 What best describes your gender?

(Select one)

- Female
- Male
- Prefer not to say
- Prefer to self-describe: (open text box)
- What is your age group?

(Select one)

- Under 18
- 18-24
- 25-34
- 35-44
- 45-54
- 55-64
- 65-74
- 75+
- Prefer not to say

6. What is your ethnic group?

(Select one)

- White
- Mixed or Multiple ethnic groups
- Asian or Asian British
- Black, Black British, Caribbean or African
- Other ethnic group
- Prefer not to say

7. Do you consider yourself to be a disabled person or to have a long-term, limiting condition?

Please tick one box only

- Yes
- No
- Prefer not to say

8. Which of the following best describes your sexual orientation?

- Heterosexual/Straight
- Gay/Lesbian
- Bisexual
- Prefer not to say
- Other – Please write below

Routing for business/organisation

- What best describes your organisation?
- Business
- County Council
- Unitary council
- District Council
- Town Council
- Parish Council
- Health Body
- Voluntary and community sector or charity

- Academic
- Elected representative
- Prefer not to say
- Other (Please Specify)

10. What is the first part of the postcode where your business or organisation is based? For example, if your postcode is AB1 2CD, you would write AB1.

We ask this so that we can identify what Local Authority your business/organisation is based in and understand a bit more about the area where it is located. We do not use this information to identify your organisation.

THIS PAGE IS LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY